

# Four Days More and the Political Campaign of '96 Will Be Over.



## BIG BRYAN MEETINGS IN EVERY DISTRICT.

More Than One Hundred Held Last Night, All Largely Attended.

Am. J. Cummings and John C. Sheehan Fighting Hard in the Ninth District.

Congressman Sulzer Speaks in Several Places to Germans and Workmen.

HENRY D. PURROY IN THE THICK OF IT.

His Association Will Have a Big Mass Meeting at Cooper Union on Saturday Night.

Tammany continued its campaign last night by holding nearly 100 meetings in the various Assembly districts, which were all largely attended. Good speakers were at every gathering.

The braves in the Ninth Assembly District held a rousing big meeting at Twenty-second street and Eighth avenue. That is John C. Sheehan's own district, and it is also the home of the arch Plittite, Fred S. Gibbs. Tammany's cohorts have made a vigorous campaign there and expect to carry it by 1,000 majority.

Congressman Amos J. Cummings spoke at last night's meeting and was enthusiastically received. Mr. Cummings made an eloquent appeal for Bryan and Sewall, and denounced as false the declarations of the Republicans as to the effect of a free coinage law. John B. McGoldrick, Michael F. Blake, George W. Gibbons and Dr. T. C. Fitzsimmons also spoke.

Congressman William Sulzer addressed one of the best meetings of the year at the Tammany headquarters, No. 300 East Fourteenth street. Leader John T. Oakley had striven to make this one of the features of the campaign in his district, and he succeeded. Hundreds of men were unable to get in the hall. Mr. Sulzer went over the issues of the campaign and was greeted with great applause. J. Lindsay Gordon, Dr. W. J. O'Sullivan, W. M. M. Speer, Waldorf H. Phillips and Charles A. Connor also spoke. Several smaller meetings were also held in that district last night.

The Tammany Bryan and Sewall Central Association, of which County Clerk Henry D. Purroy is president, held a meeting in the rooms of the Seneca Club, at Twenty-ninth street and Fourth avenue. Mr. Purroy spoke and was followed by several other well known speakers.

This association will hold a great mass meeting at Cooper Union next Saturday night, at which Henry George, Senator Charles L. Guy, Congressman William Sulzer and others will speak.

Leader Charles Murphy's followers in the Eighteenth District assembled at No. 351 East Seventeenth street, where a rousing Bryan and Sewall meeting was held. Judge Daniel F. Martin, James J. Fitzgerald, Miles H. Dawson and others spoke.

A grand ratification meeting, under the auspices of the Tammany organization in the Tenth Assembly District, was held at No. 30 Avenue A. The audience was largely German, and they freely applauded the speakers. Among them Civil Justice George F. Rosch, William H. Russell, Jacob E. Bauech, Herman Van Trunk and Congressman William Sulzer.

Another big meeting was held at No. 128 Clinton street, under the auspices of the Twelfth District organization. Speeches were made by Maurice B. Blumenthal, Henry W. Eager, Anthony Monahan and Samuel B. Bonner.

Meetings were held in all of the Assembly districts, as many as a dozen in some.

**Printers' Bryan Meeting.**  
The mass meeting of the Bryan League of Allied Printing Trades, at Everett Hall, on Wednesday night, was an unequalled success. Henry George was unable to appear, but William F. St. John, Amos Cummings, James J. Walsh, Jacob Bauech, Joseph Green, John B. McGoldrick, Owen Kludon, George W. Perry and others made good speeches. The effort of Franklin Quinby, a professor at one of New York's colleges, was particularly well received.

## POLITICAL SIDE DISHES.

Sarcasm Not Appreciated on the East Side How Tim Campbell Coddles the Jewish Vote—Humors of the Canvass.

A Democratic campaign banner, stretched across Grand street, near Ludlow, is adorned with a big portrait of Mark Hanna holding McKinley in his hand. The East Side, however, is a poor locality for sarcasm, and many of the peddlers on their way to and from Hester street take the portrait seriously.

Two patriarchal vendors of patriarchal fish were gazing at this portrait yesterday.

## THE SCHEME THAT DIDN'T PAN OUT.

One of Those Pleasing Little East Side Episodes for Which the Noted Silver Dollar Smith Has Grown Justly Famous.

He wandered into Silver Dollar Smith's saloon, on Essex street, yesterday afternoon, and asked for a glass of beer. The bartender took one long look at him and then said quietly: "Gimme the nickel first."

He looked as if he had just come out of a steam mangle, yet there was a joyful light in his eye. His whiskers were stiff as iron, and his clothes would have made a wonderful stew yet his face beamed with happiness.

"Wot the Silver Dollar?" he demanded.

The Silver Dollar arose and confronted him.

"Say!" he said, "I've got a proposition. It's a good thing for me and it'll be a great thing for you. I'm a gold bug!"

"Wot We Want is Sound Money."

"Dot's Megintley!" said one. "I know dot," said the other, "but who is dot Megintley?"

"Dot's der Democratic party," was the explanation. Whereupon the policeman on the corner, who has grown tired of explaining the caricature, said:

"No; that's Chauncey Depew."

"Ach!" exclaimed the other. "I knew dot you'd der Democratic party. So dot's Shonsey! Vell! Vell! Vell!"

Charles Adler is running for the Assembly in the Third District. He has run for the Assembly before. If he lives, he will run for the Assembly again.

"Yellow citizens," he said at a political meeting in Grand street the other night, "this district has always been famous for knowing who its friends are. It is true that I am running on a sound money platform. I am also running to uphold the principles of the Republican party. But, fellow citizens, the main reason why I am anxious to be elected is to keep up the reputation of this district for knowing when it's got a good thing."

"You know your friends. I am your friend and best friend. Who has always worked for the



"You're a silver bug! See! I'm red-hot for gold, and it's hard to change me. You're showing me what a great thing silver is. I'm hard to convince. See! Then you get in your finest licks and I come around. I leave here converted. See! I go around the district telling 'em all how the great Silver Dollar opened my mind. You get the credit. See! Is it worth a beer?"

The curtain of charity is drawn over the brief scene that followed. When it was over the gold bug picked himself out of the gutter, and with a disdainful smile, turned to the crowd that had been attracted by the commotion, and said:

"Fellow-citizens, wot we want is sound money!"

To investigate the outrage committed upon one of "Tim" Campbell's posters, and to spare no expense in convicting the man who substituted the word "guilty" for "wanting."

The portraits of Major McKinley that adorn the various districts of this city seem to be particularly susceptible to the racial influences that surround them.

On the East Side, particularly in the larger beer districts, a broad, Teutonic expression rests upon every portrait of the Republican candidate.

In Mulberry street the Major's likeness resembles those of a variegated and picturesque series of brigands who have had their mustaches shaved. In East Houston street his face bears the fierce expression of a Hungarian nobleman exiled from his native land. And on Cherry Hill Mr. McKinley bears a suspicious resemblance to Barney Hourke.

All this, however, is purely accidental, and can only be accounted for as a strange effect of environment.



## OFFERS \$100,000 ON BRYAN AT 1 TO 3.

W. S. Stratton, a Colorado Gold Mine Owner, Makes Wall Street "Wipe."

Brokers Had Been Talking 4 to 1, but Wouldn't Take the Colorado Money.

"Billy" Connors, at the Hoffman, Has \$50,000 to Bet on Bryan at 1 to 4.

MUCH MORE READY AT THE SAME ODDS.

But Colonel Swords, with \$50,000, Says 3 to 1 is the Best He Will Give. Many Smaller Wagers Made.

Colorado Springs, Col., Oct. 29.—W. S. Stratton, a rich gold mine owner of Cripple Creek, has offered to bet \$100,000 against \$30,000 that William J. Bryan will be elected President. Mr. Stratton agrees, if he wins, to give the money to the masses, and if the other side wins they can have the money. A syndicate of rich men is trying to raise the \$30,000.

Mr. Stratton is a carpenter who became a multi-millionaire in Cripple Creek. He says the maintenance of the gold standard would be better for him, but not for the masses, and he believes in the masses. A few days ago he offered to bet \$10,000 to \$30,000 with Cashier W. S. Jackson, of the El Paso County Bank. The offer was not accepted.

Wall Street was staggered yesterday by the above offer, which was telegraphed to hold good for New York.

The McKinley bettors had been talking 4 to 1 all day, but when the money came in eight they said Stratton must bring his money to New York. No one took a dollar's worth.

E. K. Willard, one of the few silver men on the street, bet \$250 against \$1,000 with John S. James. James also bet \$1,200 to \$300 with A. Josephson, one of the oldest members of the Board, \$4,000 to \$1,000 with another party and \$1,000 to \$250, which B. C. Williams put up for a customer.

Mr. Williams announced that he would bet \$2,000 to \$1,000 that New York City goes Republican.

James S. McGold, of Charles Head & Co., bet \$400 against \$100 with Dave Lapeley on the general result.

H. A. Watson put up \$500 even with William H. Willard that Illinois will go for Bryan. Another bet was made at the Astor House of \$200 even on Illinois.

W. C. Veefus, the bond broker, bet \$250 even that McKinley will have 50,000 plurality in New York City.

Colonel Swords put up \$5,000 against \$1,000 on McKinley. He announced that he has \$50,000 to lay on the same terms.

Angus Block, a tobacco merchant, of West Virginia, arrived in New York yesterday to bet that West Virginia goes for McKinley.

George Mooser bet "Shuffling" John Kelly \$100 even that New York State would not give McKinley 200,000 majority. Alexander Comstock bet Mooser \$100 even that Kentucky would go for McKinley.

Melville Stoltz, of the Herald Square Theatre, bet Charles Evans \$500 against

\$550 that Illinois would give McKinley 25,000 majority.

"Billy" Connors, at the Hoffman, has been commissioned to place \$50,000 at 1 to 4 on Bryan.

Billy Edwards, at the Fifth Avenue Hotel, said: "I will bet \$100 even that New York County will give McKinley 25,000; 1 to 2 that McKinley has 50,000; 1 to 4 that McKinley has 70,000 majority. I will bet \$50 each on California, Kentucky, Maryland, Virginia and West Virginia going for McKinley, all five bets to be taken or none. I will bet \$1,000 even that Bryan gets 150 electoral votes, and \$100 to \$1,000 that McKinley don't get 150 electoral votes. I have also \$3,000 even that Charles A. Hess defeats McClellan for Congress in the Twelfth District."

## NOT FORCED TO MARCH.

Metropolitan Life Insurance Company Abandons Its Idea of Having All Its Men in the Parade.

The Metropolitan Life Insurance Company has decided to abandon the idea of having its army of employees march in the Republican parade to-morrow. Yesterday word was sent to all the employees that the

## WHEN ANARCHIST AND VAMPIRE MEE THAT IS THE TIME WHEN THE VOTER WHO LISTENS TO CART TAI SPEECHES LEARNS EXACTLY THE KIND OF GAME HE HAS BEEN RUNNING AGAINST.

The fat spellbinder had warned to his subject.

"Free silver will bring ruin to the country! Free silver will crush the life and soul out of your bodies! It means starvation! It means anguish and hopeless, endless misery!"

"Oh, my friends and fellow citizens, do not allow yourselves to be misled by the howling Anarchists, who are trying to lead you to perdition! Avoid them as you would pestilence!"

Meantime the lean spellbinder up the street was talking himself hoarse for Bryan.

"Beware, my friends, of those vampires who are seeking to chain you to the gold standard! Here, in your very streets, their minions are preaching the cursed doctrine of gold, gold, gold—miserable, soul-killing gold! Beware of them!"

At midnight they met in Fyran's.

"How did you make out?"

"Too much like work. I'm glad it's soon over."

"Me too. Have a beer?"



president of the company did not desire them to participate in the parade.

When this became known, the managers of the parade refused to believe it. Inquiries at the office of the company, however, showed them that it was true, and that none of the men about the building would march.

Few of the employees are McKinley men, and the majority were glad to be released

from a course which they believed was necessary in order to retain their positions.

The McKinley men, however, felt that they had been wronged and applied to the officer of the company for an explanation.

"When the parade was first talked about we sent out letters to our district men. Each district—there are about six in New York City—has a head man. We sent out letters to them asking how many of their men would march in the parade. They answered that if the company ordered it every man would march. That looked like coercion. We learned that many of our men were against McKinley, and also that many of our policy holders, of which there are 3,500,000, were against him, and de-

clared that it would be wrong to have our men turn out as representing the company.

So we told the men they could not march as the Metropolitan Life Company. They may march as individuals."

At the meeting of the Publishers and Advertisers' Sound Money Club, in the Times building, yesterday, a gold-headed cane was presented to Henry Dresser, Jr., who will act as their marshal in the parade to-morrow. W. C. Bryan, of Brooklyn, and R. R. Beaker spoke.

Inspector Cortright will have charge of the police arrangements below Canal street. A sufficient force of men, with captains and sergeants, and some mounted patrolmen, will assist him in keeping Broadway clear.

Inspector Thompson will be in charge of Broadway from Canal street to Waverley place. Inspector O'Keefe from Waverley place to Fifth avenue, and thence up to Twenty-second street; Inspector Harley from Twenty-second street to Twenty-fifth street, and the stands in Madison Square. Inspector McCullagh will have charge of the rest of the route. He will not allow any vehicles to stand in the side streets from Thirty-fourth street up.

Fifteen patrol wagons will be held in readiness for any trouble. Each patrol wagon will have a police sergeant and several patrolmen. There will be at fifteen different places along the route, telephones which will connect with Chief Conlin's office, so that he will be in touch with things, and also that calls for ambulance service when needed may be readily transmitted. There will also be a telephone at the stands in Madison Square, and two ambulances.

Arrangements have been made whereby boys selling sandwiches will be supplied with badges, that they may pass through the lines and not be interfered with by the police. Chief Conlin will also distribute passes to newspaper men and those whose duties require them to pass through the lines.

College Expels the Egg Throwers.

Chicago, Oct. 29.—Chauncey C. Foster and Louis J. Hanchett, the young men who threw the eggs at Mrs. Bryan on Tuesday, were this morning publicly expelled from the business college from the windows of which the eggs were thrown. Principal Powers mounted the platform and said:

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

"I have no doubt that these two young men are of good family and good character, but they have committed a crime, and they must be expelled from this college."

